

Entscheidungsverfahren mit Anwendungen in der Softwareverifikation

X: Modulare Arithmetik

Carsten Sinz
Institut für Theoretische Informatik

14.01.2020

"Program Arithmetic"

```
unsigned int  
square_check(unsigned int x)  
{  
    unsigned int y = x * x;  
    if (y == 33) { error(); }  
    return y;  
}
```



Is error()
reachable?

Has $x^2 \equiv 33 \pmod{2^{32}}$
a solution?

Yes!

4 Solutions, e.g. 663169809

Mathematical Integers vs. Signed vs. Unsigned

Addition

Property	\mathbb{Z}	signed int (if defined)	unsigned int
Closure	yes	yes	yes
Associativity $a+(b+c) = (a+b)+c$	yes	yes	yes
Commutativity $a+b = b+a$	yes	yes	yes
Ex. of identity $a+0 = a$	yes	yes	yes
Ex. of inverse $a+(-a) = 0$	yes	yes	no

Multiplication

Property	\mathbb{Z}	signed int (if defined)	unsigned int
Closure	yes	yes	yes
Associativity $a*(b*c) = (a*b)*c$	yes	yes	yes
Commutativity $a*b = b*a$	yes	yes	yes
Ex. of identity $a*1 = a$	yes	yes	yes
Ex. of inverse $a*(a^{-1}) = 1$	only 1 and -1	only 1 and -1	all odd numbers

- \mathbb{Z} : commutative ring with unity; integral domain (no zero divisors); Euclidian domain (division with remainder)
- $\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z}$: also commutative ring with unity, **but no integral domain (for $k>1$)**

Arithmetic in $\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z}$

- Definition:

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{\bar{a}_n \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{a} = \{\dots, a - n, a, a + n, \dots\}$$

- As usual, we identify \bar{a} with a , where $0 \leq a < n$, thus

$$\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \dots, 2^k - 1\}$$

- Examples of arithmetic in $\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z}$:

- When has the equation $a \cdot x = b$ a solution? Is it unique?
- Has the equation $x^2 = 33$ a solution in $\mathbb{Z}/2^8\mathbb{Z}$? Is it unique?

- Basic facts:

- $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \equiv b \pmod{m}$ is solvable for the unknowns x_i , iff the greatest common divisor of $\{a_1, \dots, a_n, m\}$ divides b .
- a has a multiplicative inverse mod m , iff $\gcd(a, m) = 1$.
- a^{-1} can be computed using the extended Euclidian algorithm or using Euler's theorem, $a^{-1} \equiv a^{\phi(m)-1} \pmod{m}$. For $m = 2^k$, $\phi(m) = \phi(2^k) = 2^{k-1}$, and thus $a^{-1} \equiv a^{2^{k-1}-1} \pmod{2^k}$.

Solving Equations in $\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z}$

- **Given:** Polynomial $p(x)$
- **Goal:** Solutions of $p(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}$
- First, consider the linear case: $p(x) = a \cdot x - b$, i.e. solving the equation $a \cdot x = b$ modulo $m = 2^k$.
- If a is invertible, then $x = b \cdot a^{-1}$ is the (unique) solution. (This is the case, if a is odd.)
- Otherwise, $a \cdot x = b$ has solutions, iff $\gcd(a, 2^k) \mid b$. The solution is not unique, but a particular solution is given by $x = b/a$.
- **Theorem:** *The congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ is soluble in integers if, and only if, $\gcd(a, m) \mid b$. The number of incongruent solutions modulo m is $\gcd(a, m)$.*
- How can we find all solutions?
- For all solutions x , the following holds: $\exists t. ax + tm = b$. Having a first solution x_0 , all solutions are given by $x_k = x_0 + k \cdot (m / \gcd(a, m))$ for $0 \leq k < \gcd(a, m)$.

- Given a system $S = \{E_j\}$ of linear congruences (mod $m = 2^k$) over n variables, with

$$E_j : \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ji}x_i \equiv b_j \pmod{2^k},$$

find its solution set.

- **Algorithm [Ganesh, 2007]:**

- If there is an odd coefficient a_{ji} , solve equation E_j for x_i and substitute x_i in all other equations. If E_j cannot be solved for x_i , i.e. if $\gcd\{a_{j1}, \dots, a_{jn}, m\} \nmid b_j$, then there is no solution to S .
- If all coefficients a_{ji} are even, divide all a_{ji} , b_j by two and decrease k by one.
- Repeat the algorithm with the resulting system of congruences and stop with "success" if there is only one solved equation left.

- **Properties:**

- The algorithm is a sound and complete decision procedure for linear congruences.

Solving Systems of Linear Congruences

- Example: Solve the following system of congruences modulo 8:

$$3x + 4y + 2z = 0$$

$$2x + 2y = 6$$

$$4y + 2x + 2z = 0$$

- Note:

- Ganesh considers the unknowns as bit-vectors of length k ; when the system is divided by 2, the highest bit in each bit-vector is dropped (i.e. left unconstrained)

- Question:

- How can the set of all solutions of S be determined after the algorithm finished?

Solving Non-Linear Congruences

- **Task:** Given a polynomial $p(x)$, find all solutions of $p(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}$.
- **Hensel lifting algorithm** (special case for $m = 2^k$):
 1. **[k=1]** Check, whether $p(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ has a solution. If not, exit with "no solution".
 2. **[k→k+1]** Let $\{x_i\}$ be the set of solutions for $p(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}$. We distinguish two cases to lift each x_i from k to $k+1$:
 - A. If $p'(x_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$: **[0 or 2 lifted solutions]**
 1. If $p(x_i) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}}$, x_i cannot be lifted
 2. Otherwise there are two lifted solutions $x_i^* = x_i + t \cdot 2^k$, $t \in \{0,1\}$
 - B. If $p'(x_i) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$: **[unique lifting]**
$$x_i^* = x_i - p(x_i) \pmod{2^{k+1}}$$
- **Note:** Hensel-lifting also works for multivariate polynomials. However, already the base case ($k=1$) is NP-complete. (Why?)

- **Example:** $x^2 \equiv 33 \pmod{2^4}$
- $p(x) = x^2 - 33, \quad p'(x) = 2x$
- **[k=1, mod 2]:** $x^2=1 \pmod{2}$ has solution $x^*=1$
- **[k=2, mod 4]:** Try to lift $x^*=1$: $p'(x^*)=0 \pmod{2}$, thus 0 or 2 lifted solutions
 $p(x^*)=0 \pmod{4}$, thus 2 liftings: $x^{*'} = x^* + 2t = \{1, 3\}$
- **[k=3, mod 8]:**
 - Lifting $x^*=1$: 0 or 2 lifted solutions, $p(x^*)=0 \pmod{8}$, $x^{*'} = \{1, 5\}$
 - Lifting $x^*=3$: 0 or 2 lifted solutions, $p(x^*)=0 \pmod{8}$, $x^{*'} = \{3, 7\}$
- **[k=4, mod 16]:**
 - Lifting $x^*=1$: $p(x^*)=0 \pmod{16}$, $x^{*'} = \{1, 9\}$
 - Lifting $x^*=3$: $p(x^*)=8 \pmod{16}$, no lifting
 - Lifting $x^*=5$: $p(x^*)=8 \pmod{16}$, no lifting
 - Lifting $x^*=7$: $p(x^*)=0 \pmod{16}$, $x^{*'} = \{7, 15\}$

- **Theorem:** Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial with integer coefficients, $k \geq m > 0$, r an integer with $f(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$. Then if $f'(r) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, there is an integer s such that $f(s) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{k+m}}$ and $s \equiv r \pmod{p^k}$. So s is a „lifting“ of r to a root mod p^{k+m} . Moreover, s is unique mod p^{k+m} .
- **Proof:** Consider the Taylor series expansion of f :

$$f(r + p^k t) = f(r) + f'(r)p^k t + \frac{f''(r)}{2!} p^{2k} t^2 + \dots$$

Since $m \leq k$, all terms but the first two vanish mod p^{k+m} , so

$$f(r + p^k t) \equiv f(r) + f'(r)p^k t \pmod{p^{k+m}}$$

Setting $f(r + p^k t) \equiv 0$, we can solve for t :

$$f(r) + f'(r)p^k t \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{k+m}}$$

$$p^k t \equiv -\frac{f(r)}{f'(r)} \pmod{p^{k+m}}$$

$$t \equiv -\frac{\frac{f(r)}{p^k}}{f'(r)} \pmod{p^m}$$

- $f(r)/p^k$ is an integer by the lemma's assumption $f(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$.
- $f'(r)$ has a multiplicative inverse mod p^m , as $f'(r) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.
- The solution s unique mod p^{k+m} is given by $s = r + p^k t = r - f(r)/a$, where $a \equiv f'(r)^{-1} \pmod{p^m}$.
- Case without a unique lifting (restricted to the case $m=1$ in the Lemma):
 - Assume $f(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ and $f'(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then $s \equiv r \pmod{p^k}$ implies $f(r) \equiv f(s) \pmod{p^{k+1}}$ by the Taylor expansion, i.e. $f(r + tp^k) \equiv f(r) \pmod{p^{k+1}}$ for all integers t . We thus have two cases:
 - $f(r) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{k+1}}$: Then there is no lifting from k to $k+1$.
 - $f(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{k+1}}$: Then every lifting of r from k to $k+1$ is a root mod p^{k+1} , i.e. $s = r + tp^k$ is a solution for each $t \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$.